

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/510,942		Applicant(s) JANKOWSKI, BRUCE K.	
	Examiner VICTORIA W. CHEN		Art Unit 3739	

All Participants:

(1) VICTORIA W. CHEN.

(2) Francesco Sardone.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Appeal Brief filed 5/14/10

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:45AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102(b) prior art rejections under Turkel and Yoon references regarding independent claims 1, 15, 21, 22 and 23

Claims discussed:
1, 15, 21, 22, 23

Prior art documents discussed:
Turkel, Yoon

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Victoria W Chen/
Examiner, Art Unit 3739

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the appeal conference on 7/12/10, it was decided that the limitation of the "planar distal end wall" as recited in independent claims 1, 15, 21 and 22 overcome the prior art references of Turkel and Yoon. However, claim 23 lacked this limitation, and thus the examiner and applicant agreed to cancel claim 23, and proceed to allow claims 1-22..